COLLEGE COMMITTEE ON FACILITIES PLANNING
MEETING SUMMARY
SEPTEMBER 16, 2008

PRESENT: Dr. Jim Albanese
Dr. Connie Mayfield (DeLong Chomiak)
Dr. Randy Peebles
Dr. Dan Smith
Roger Ernest
Bernie Negrete
Karen Welliver
Tim Kyllingstad
Linda Kaufman
Robert Riffle

ABSENT: Lisha Valles

Guest(s): James Junho Lee

1. CALL TO ORDER
Dr. Albanese called the meeting to order at 11:00 a.m.

2. APPROVAL OF THE APRIL 15, 2008 MEETING SUMMARY
The April 15, 2008 meeting summary was approved as presented.

3. CONSTRUCTION STATUS REPORT
   Pool: Mr. Riffle reported that the pool contractor is on-site and working at the pool site. The Aquatic Center groundbreaking will take place on October 15, 2008.

   Classroom/Lab/Office Building #1 (CLO): DSA has completely approved CLO #1. The tentative bid schedule is as follows:
   October, 2008 – advertise the bid
   December, 2008 – award the bid at the Board meeting
   February, 2009 – estimated beginning construction date
   February, 2010 – estimated completion of CLO #1

   Auto Technology (New & Renovation) – This project has had some setbacks at DSA. The architects are working with DSA to get through the issues and get the project back on track. A question was asked about the demolition schedule for the Research and Development Building. Mr. Riffle responded that the demolition should begin approximately mid-October. It will have some impact on traffic at the corner near the building. Mr. Riffle noted that the campus will be kept informed of the status.

   Gym Seismic Project – Mr. Riffle reported that the Gym Seismic project is expected to go to DSA in October, 2008. Because the Gym Seismic project is being funded through State
funds, it is imperative that the District and architect meet all the required DSA deadlines. It is hoped to go to bid by June, 2009.

Mr. Riffle also reported that the Fine Arts Building was re-submitted as an FPP in June, 2008. Mr. Ernest asked if the theater were re-submitted by moving it slightly 150 ft, would that have been possible. Mr. Riffle replied that it is always possible, but it would not be the right thing to do. The theater was approved where it was and that is where the Fine Arts Division wants it. The College would have to come up with solid reasons to move it a 150 feet, such as an earthquake fault or health and safety issues. Mr. Ernest remarked that he could see where it is easy to rubber-stamp a project that has already been approved. Swing space is a huge issue for the Fine Arts Building, and if the theater had not been located where the current Fine Arts Building is, and there were a switch, then you wouldn’t have had a swing space issue for Fine Arts. The entire reason given for the theater being in the new location was an issue of parking.

4. SECOND ACCESS SHELTER
   Mr. Riffle reported that the second access shelter is in and functioning on the west side of the Science Building. It is the same type of shelter as the one placed by the Public Affairs Building. This project was funded with DSPS funds.

5. PARKING IMPACTS TO CONSTRUCTION
   Mr. Riffle reported that the handout given to the committee reflects the best “guestimate” parking stall impact for the upcoming/current construction projects. This handout will be placed on the Facilities Web site. He added that when contractors come on campus, they also require parking for their staff, and many times they don’t have site parking available, so the College places them in an allocated area.

   Dr. Albanese noted that he would like to explore alternate parking across the street at the LDS church. Dr. Smith volunteered to schedule an appointment with the appropriate person at the facility.

6. NEXT MEETING
   The next meeting is scheduled for October 21, 2008.

7. OTHER ITEMS FROM THE FLOOR
   Mr. Ernest indicated that one of the issues that continues to come up in Faculty Senate is the deplorable state of some of our classrooms. This issue has been previously addressed at this committee. One of the oppositions raised regarding faculty doing minor repairs/upkeep in a classroom is the encroachment of the CSEA contract (taking away work). His question to CSEA was “what could CSEA do to alleviate the situation?” Is there perhaps something CSEA can do to allow faculty to rehabilitate some of these areas without encroaching on their contract? Tim Kyllingstad will talk with CSEA and report back to the committee.
Dr. Peebles commended Mr. Riffle and his staff for the infrastructure updates and how they are getting out to staff in a consistent way. He especially commends staff for placing this information on the Web site. He indicated that campus activities are becoming very transparent that it supports very well the transition of the College in providing more communication and information to staff. Mr. Ernest echoed Dr. Peeble’s commendation of Mr. Riffle and his staff.

Dr. Peebles requested that a future agenda item be new building identification.

Dr. Peebles inquired about the replacement key system of the campus. Mr. Riffle noted that a new key system is being implemented into the new buildings with an outside card access. It is not an interior/exterior process. A complete card system is extremely expensive.

Mr. Riffle reported that Bovis has hired someone to work strictly on the Web site and keep it updated for the campus and community.

8. **ADJOURNMENT**
The meeting adjourned at 11:51 a.m.