The meeting was called to order at 2:07.

Approval of the Minutes: Scott O’Neil moved to approve the minutes from September 17, 2013. Anna Fischer seconded the motion. The minutes were approved as corrected.

Continued Review of the Self Study Document

- Frank Mixson addressed questions regarding SLOs the committee had raised during its previous meeting.
  - Campus Accountability regarding SLOs: In March 2013, the college filed a report indicating that we are at the proficiency level.
  - The self-study indicated that there are some concerns about alignment. Frank reported that the Departments and the SLO Committee went through an alignment process, but it yielded little meaningful information. Frank also commented that 85% of colleges in the state had a similar experience. The college is no revisiting this process, and the new process will go to the SLO Committee in November.
  - The current list of SLO competencies is in line with other colleges in the state.
SLO data is now included in the unit plans, and as the unit plans collect over 6 years, departments can use the 6 years of data to build the program review report.

- Standard IV.A.3.
  - The general consensus of the committee was while there is room for improvement, and there are specific instances when things could have been handled better, there are good intentions. When the process is questioned by the campus. The process is reviewed, so there is a process.

- Standard IV.A.5.
  - The write up on this standard seemed heavy on the Planning and Budget Committee but nothing else. There could be more specifics about the Communication Survey. This standard generally seemed to bring up a lot of “I don’t know” from the committee members. This is not regularly evaluated.

- Standard IV.B.IJ
  - The consensus of the committee was that with the current board, we do not meet this standard.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:25.